Interview Summary

Application No. 09/870,729 Applicant(s)

Shuber

Examiner

Joyce Tung

Art Unit 1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Joyce Tung (3) J	Patric Waller
(2) <i>Duan Wu</i> (4)	
Date of Interview Aug 23, 2002	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐	plicant's representative)
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No	. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: n/a	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of any other comments:	what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Explained the invention and the difference of the instant invention from the prior art	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments w allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.	n 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required